

## Minutes of the Section 11 (PCC) meeting 8<sup>th</sup> May 2025

Agenda item and discussion points	Action
<b>1. Welcome and opening prayer</b> The meeting was chaired by Pete Burns.	N/A
<b>2. Attendees and Apologies for absence</b> Attendees: Conway Tearle, James Murdoch, Sue Tearle, Peter Watson, Pete Burns, John Stevens, , Tanya Hilborne, Fran Lechler, Adriaan Goosen, Simon Frewin, John Murray, Louise Grace Apologies: Hannah Burns, Claire Johnson, John Bramson Absent: Gladys Ekpo-Daniels, Yi Baylis	N/A
<b>3. Declarations of interest</b> Clergy and Lay Ministers do not attend a Section 11 meeting	N/A
<b>4. Parish Profile</b> All members commented and the overwhelming consensus was that it was fit for purpose with a few minor amendments, mainly discussed in the meeting. It was proposed that the PCC approve the profile subject the amendments being included. Proposed Adriaan, Seconded Simon, All in favour  Six copies of the draft have been printed for the APCM on the 11 <sup>th</sup> and it was accepted that the amendments were so minor that we could use them so show the congregation where we are. The final version with the amendments inserted will be published next week on our website.	Pete to send Hannah the version with the amendments inserted.  Hannah to send corrected version with Form 34 to the patron and Diocese  Fiona to put final version on our website
<b>5. Appointment of PCC representatives</b> James talked a little about the role, i.e. these would be our representatives who join the interviewing panel with the Patron and the Bishop's representative(s). We discussed the characteristics needed to make sure that they were truly representative of the demographic of the church and have the skills necessary. James suggested Pete as he had led the Profile exercise and Clare Johnson which was greeted with lots of nodding heads. (Given that Clare would be absent from the meeting, James had checked that Clare would be happy to take on the role if selected.) Proposed by James and Seconded by John Murray. All in favour.	Hannah to notify the Patron and the Diocese using Form 34 of our selection of Peter Burns and Clare Johnson.
<b>6. Vacancy Advert</b> We know that The Patron favours an open process in the interest of justice but an issue had arisen that curates in training who have not reached the normal point of sign off on the training cannot normally apply via the open process. However, the Archdeacon, on behalf of the Bishops and other	

<p>interested parties, has agreed that in this case our curate in training, the Revd. Chris Henriette, can apply through the open process. James was asked whether Chris intended to apply and James confirmed that Chris has said that he fully intends to apply through the open process. Against this background the mood was to support the open process. Although, the Patron and Diocese support such a process it is for the PCC to request The patron to advertise. Adrian proposed we request the Patron to advertise the vacancy. John M Seconded. All in favour.</p> <p>The responsibility for the advert lies with the Patron although it is clear that the Diocese expect the parish to pay. It will be advertised in the Church Times. It is not clear who prepared the copy that is sent to the Church Times, there is a standard Southwark heading but the core normally looks parochial. James had circulated a suggested text based on our profile which was generally regarded as fine but with suggested amendments and excluding the Wordl (Word Cloud).</p> <p>The PCC is concerned to get the advert in the Church Times before the school holidays.</p>	<p>Hannah to notify the Patron and the Diocese using Form 34 of our request to advertise the vacancy.</p> <p>James will send the amended suggested text to the Patron copied to the Diocese, clarify the process and push to get the advert in asap.</p>
<p><b>7/8. Joint Meeting with Bishop and Patron and Bishop's statement.</b></p> <p>We are in frequent contact with the Archdeacon who is coming to the parish in a few weeks time to preach and who is ready to make himself available if there are any issues. The Bishop's usually reflects the Diocesan Strategy (The new version is on the Diocesan website) and +Alistair is likely to send us a standard one anyway. James thought making these requests would likely slow the process.</p> <p><i>No case was made for the PCC to make these requests.</i></p> <p>NB: Hopefully on receipt of our profile we can get on and advertise but we note that The Patron or Bishop/Archdeacon could request a meeting – in which case we would comply.</p>	<p>Hannah to delete these requests on Form 34 when sending back to the Diocese</p>
<p><b>9. Approval of Trustee's Annual Report (a non Section 11 item)</b></p> <p>The PCC had approved the report, subject to amendments, at their March meeting. However, some of the data in the amendments referred to events after that meeting so a formal approval is required dated 8/5/25. Proposed John M, Seconded Adriaan. All in favour.</p>	<p>Approved Trustee report can be presented at the APCM (11/5/25) and filed with Charity Commission and Diocese</p>